

# Guide to NTCAT Jurisdictions

NTCAT can only hear a case when an Act of Parliament gives it authority.

Before commencing a proceeding, you must determine whether NTCAT has jurisdiction to deal with your matter.

This guide provides a summary of the legislation that confers jurisdiction on NTCAT.

Whilst every attempt is made to keep the guide up-to-date, this may not always be possible. Likewise, it is not possible to provide an exhaustive list of all matters that may be determined by NTCAT under each Act of Parliament.

For this reason, the guide is only intended to provide general information. You should carefully refer to the legislation before you commence a proceeding before NTCAT.

If you are unsure about whether NTCAT has jurisdiction to deal with your matter, you should obtain legal advice.

DATE JURISDICTION OPERATIVE IN NTCAT	LEGISLATION	ORIGINAL/REVIEW JURISDICTION (see Northern Territory Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act, s 32)	SUMMARY OF MATTERS THAT CAN BE DETERMINED BY NTCAT AND RELEVANT SECTIONS OF THE LEGISLATION
1 July 2015	<i>Administrators Pensions Act 1981</i>	Review	<p>This Act provides for the payment of pensions to former Administrators and to their spouses.</p> <p>Part 4 confers jurisdiction on NTCAT to review a decision as defined in section 7A.</p> <p>Section 7B provides that a person or the executor or administrator of a person's estate who is aggrieved by a decision made under the Act in relation to the person, may apply to NTCAT for a review of the decision within the time mentioned in section 7C.</p>

14 April 2021	<i>Administration and Probate Act 1969</i>	Original	<p>This Act provides for the administration of the estates of deceased persons.</p> <p>Division 8 confers original jurisdiction on NTCAT to make decisions regarding claims against an estate which do not exceed the small claims limit (as defined in section 5(1) of the <i>Small Claims Act 2016</i>).</p> <p>Section 103 provides that if a professional personal representative of an estate is in doubt as to the validity of a claim made by a person (whether as a creditor or beneficiary) against an estate, NTCAT has jurisdiction to determine whether a claim that does not exceed the small claims limit should be rejected by the professional personal representative.</p> <p>Section 104 provides that if a claim is rejected by a professional personal representative of an estate may serve on the person notice requiring the person to commence proceedings with NTCAT or establish or enforce the rejected claim.</p>
28 July 2016	<i>Advance Personal Planning Act 2013</i>	Original	<p>This Act enables people to make plans about how decisions are to be made for them if they lose decision-making capacity, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Part 5 confers original jurisdiction on NTCAT to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• make consent decisions about health care action (see section 44);</li> <li>• make declarations as to validity, status and powers, such as whether an adult has impaired decision-making capacity for a matter (see section 58);</li> <li>• make orders as to the exercise by a decision maker of the decision maker's authority (see section 59);</li> <li>• amend or revoke an advance personal plan if a person who has made an advance personal plan no longer has planning capacity (see section 61);</li> <li>• determine matters in relation to an advance personal plan; and</li> <li>• make orders that relate to the advance personal plan (see section 28).</li> </ul> <p>In exercising its jurisdiction, NTCAT must act in accordance with the decision-making principles as if it were a decision-maker (see section 22).</p>
1 September 2017	<i>Alcohol Harm Reduction Act 2017</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to reduce the misuse of alcohol and the resulting harm by providing a framework for registering adults on the banned drinker register, and for related purposes.</p>

	<i>Alcohol Harm Reduction Act 2017</i>		<p>Section 32 provides that an affected person may apply to NTCAT for a review of a reviewable decision. Reviewable decisions are specified in Schedule 1. Affected persons, for a reviewable decision, are persons specified in Schedule 1 opposite the decision.</p> <p>The following are reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• decision making BDO by police under section 10(1)(c) or (d);</li> <li>• decision making BDO by the Banned Drinker Register Registrar under Part 2, Division;</li> <li>• decision to extend a BDO under Part 2, Division 4;</li> <li>• decision refusing to vary or revoke a BDO on application under section 25(2);</li> <li>• decision to make an income management order under section 27; and</li> <li>• decision refusing to vary or revoke an income management order under section 29(2)(c).</li> </ul>
1 July 2015	<i>Anti-Discrimination Act 1992</i>	Original	<p>This is an Act to promote equality of opportunity in the Territory by protecting persons from unfair discrimination in certain areas of activity and from sexual harassment and certain associated objectionable conduct, to provide remedies for persons discriminated against and for related purposes.</p> <p>Part 6 provides that a person aggrieved by prohibited conduct may make a complaint to the Anti-Discrimination Commissioner.</p> <p>Section 83 provides that the Commissioner must evaluate a complaint if requested by the complainant under section 81(3), or if requested by the Minister, or if the Commissioner considers evaluation of the complaint is appropriate.</p> <p>After evaluating a complaint, the Commissioner may refer the complaint to NTCAT if the Commissioner believes the complaint has a reasonable prospect of success at a hearing by NTCAT.</p> <p>If the Commissioner decides not to refer the complaint to NTCAT, the complainant may within 21 days after receiving the written notice, apply to have the complaint heard by NTCAT (see section 86).</p>

11 December 2019	<i>Auctioneers Act 1935</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to regulate sales by auction.</p> <p>Section 8 provides that NTCAT has jurisdiction to review the following reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A decision to refuse to grant an auctioneer's licence;</li> <li>• A decision to refuse to renew an auctioneer's licence.</li> </ul> <p>Section 8 also provides that an affected person is the applicant for a renewal or grant of a licence.</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration Act 1996</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act for the registration of births, deaths and marriages and related matters.</p> <p>Section 48 provides that a person who is aggrieved by a decision of the Registrar, made in the performance or purported performance of functions under the Act, may apply to NTCAT for a review of the decision. The types of decisions that may be reviewed include refusal to register a name change, marriage, birth or death.</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Building Act 1993 and Building (Resolution of Residential Building Work Disputes) Regulations 2012</i>	Original & Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for the establishing of technical standards for buildings, the registration of building practitioners and certifiers, the regulation of building matters, the granting of building permits and occupancy certification and the establishing of a building appeal process, and for related purposes.</p> <p>NTCAT has original jurisdiction in relation to various functions of the Commissioner of Residential Building Disputes under Part 5A, Division 6 of the <i>Building Act 1993</i>, which relate to Commissioner and consumer guarantee disputes.</p> <p><u><i>Building Act s 54FF and Building (Resolution Of Residential Building Work Disputes) Regulations 2012</i> provide:</u></p> <p>Regulations 31 and 32(3) provide for referrals of consumer guarantee dispute applications to NTCAT by the Commissioner.</p> <p>Regulation 74 provide that NTCAT has review jurisdiction in relation to a reviewable decision.</p>

	<i>Building Act 1993 and Building (Resolution of Residential Building Work Disputes) Regulations 2012</i>		<p>Reviewable decisions are specified in Schedule 2. Affected persons, for a reviewable decision, are persons specified in Schedule 2 opposite the decision.</p> <p>The following are reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• decision under regulation 13 to reject mediation or conciliation application;</li> <li>• decision under regulation 17 to reject technical inspection application;</li> <li>• decision under regulation 21 to reject consumer guarantee dispute application;</li> <li>• decision under regulation 29(3) to dismiss consumer guarantee dispute application because of absence of applicant; and</li> <li>• dispute decision under regulation 32(1).</li> </ul>
25 April 2020	<i>Business Tenancies (Fair Dealings) Act 2003</i>	Original	<p>This Act makes provisions in relation to leases of certain retail shops and other business premises, including setting out the rights and obligations of landlords and tenants of those shops and premises.</p> <p>Pursuant to section 132B, NTCAT may provide alternative dispute resolution for matters referred by the Local Court, dealing with the matter as if it were a proceeding in NTCAT's original jurisdiction. If the alternative dispute resolution is successful, NTCAT must notify the local court of the outcome of the settlement under section 132D. If the alternative dispute resolution facilitated by NTCAT is not successful, under section 132E NTCAT must advise the Local Court and may be required to provide a report to the Local Court as to the conduct of the parties in the alternative dispute resolution proceedings.</p>
1 June 2015	<i>Caravan Parks Act 2012</i>	Original & Review	<p>This is an Act about caravan parks in the Territory, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Applications may be made to NTCAT as a mechanism by which operators and residents of a caravan park may enforce their rights under caravan park agreements and the Act. These applications (in NTCAT's original jurisdiction) include, but are not limited to, declaration of excessive rent, emergency repairs, termination, access to a caravan and compensation.</p> <p>Section 174 provides that NTCAT has review jurisdiction in relation to decisions made by an order of the Commissioner of Tenancies under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) section 141 - application if park rules are considered unreasonable</li> <li>(b) section 145 - options after giving notice about relocation</li> </ul>

3 February 2020	<i>Construction Contracts (Security of Payments) Act 2004</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to secure payments under construction contracts and provide for the adjudication of disputes about payments under construction contracts, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 58 provides that NTCAT has review jurisdiction in relation to a reviewable decision.</p> <p>Reviewable decisions are specified in Schedule 2. Affected persons, for a reviewable decision as person specified in Schedule 2 opposite the decision.</p> <p>The following are reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• decision of the Registrar under section 31(3) to make a declaration to disqualify an appointed adjudicator;</li> <li>• decision of an appointed adjudicator under section 33(1)(a) to dismiss an application;</li> <li>• decision of the Registrar under section 52(3) to refuse to register a person;</li> <li>• decision of the Registrar under section 52(4A) to refuse to renew a registration; and</li> <li>• decision of the Registrar under section 52(5) to cancel a person's registration.</li> </ul>
1 January 2015	<i>Control of Roads Act 1953</i>	Original	<p>This is an Act to provide for the administration and control of roads.</p> <p>Pursuant to section 31A, NTCAT can hear and determine claims for compensation for land acquired pursuant to the <i>Control of Roads Act 1953</i>.</p>
1 July 2015	<i>Cooperatives (National Uniform Legislation) Act 2015</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for a national law for the formation, registration, and operation of co-operatives, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 9(3)(b) provides that NTCAT is the designated tribunal for Part 7.3 of the Cooperatives National Law (set out in the appendix to the Act).</p> <p>The decisions under Part 7.3, that may be the subject of an appeal to NTCAT include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• refusal to approve draft rules (see section 571)</li> <li>• refusal to approve disclosure statement (see section 572)</li> <li>• refusal to register co-operative (see section 573)</li> </ul>



	<i>Cooperatives (National Uniform Legislation) Act 2015</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• refusal to approve amendment of rules (see section 574)</li> <li>• refusal to register amendment of rules (see section 575)</li> <li>• remuneration of liquidator (see section 576)</li> <li>• notice that participating co-operative not authorised to carry on business in this jurisdiction (see section 577)</li> </ul>
1 May 2016	<i>Cullen Bay Marina Act 1992</i>	Original	<p>This is an Act relating to the subdivision and management of certain land at Cullen Bay and the obligations and liabilities of certain persons in relation to the development of that land, and for other purposes.</p> <p>Part 4 provides who may make an application to NTCAT for resolution of various types of dispute (see section 12B).</p> <p>Section 12C provides that NTCAT may make any orders that NTCAT considers appropriate. The types of orders NTCAT may make are: an order for the payment of money; an order that a person take, or refrain from taking, specified action; an order to confirm, vary or reverse a decision of the Management Corporation, a committee of the Management Corporation or a person exercising powers under the Act; an order that a party to the dispute pay compensation to any other party who has suffered loss; or an order that the Management Corporation refund to an owner of a lot or unit, or a former owner of a lot or unit, money paid to the Management Corporation by the owner or former owner.</p>
23 April 2015	<i>Darwin Waterfront Corporation Act 2006</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to establish the Darwin Waterfront Corporation and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 70(4) confers jurisdiction on NTCAT to review a decision made under section 68, which relates to an application by a person to the Corporation for correction of an entry in the assessment record (see section 47).</p> <p>Section 70 provides that:</p> <p>(1) After receiving a recommendation from an administrative review committee, the Corporation must make a final decision on an application under section 68.</p> <p>(3) The CEO must give a decision notice to the applicant without delay after the Corporation makes a final decision on the application, setting out:</p> <p>(a) the final decision and the reasons for it; and</p>

	<i>Darwin Waterfront Corporation Act 2006</i>		<p>(b) the right the applicant has, under this or another Act, to apply for a review of the decision to the Civil and Administrative Tribunal.</p> <p>(4) A person to whom a decision notice has been given under subsection (3) may apply to the Civil and Administrative Tribunal for review of the decision.</p>
1 January 2016	<i>Education Act 2015</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for the availability of education in the Northern Territory and in particular to provide for the access of all children and young persons to education programs appropriate to their individual needs and abilities, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Part 8, Division 5 confers review jurisdiction on NTCAT.</p> <p>Section 179 provides that an affected person for a Tribunal reviewable decision may apply to the Tribunal for a review of the decision.</p> <p>Section 177 provides that the following are Tribunal reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) a decision under section 46(3)(b) to refuse an application to provide home education;</li> <li>(b) a decision under section 46(6)(e) to impose a condition on the approval of an application under section 46(1);</li> <li>(c) a decision under section 49(3) to cancel an approval to provide home education;</li> <li>(d) a decision under section 53(2)(b), (c) or (d) to refuse or vary special arrangements or order alternative arrangements in relation to a child with a disability;</li> <li>(e) a decision under section 83(4)(a) to refuse, or partially grant, an application for an application for additional semesters;</li> <li>(f) a decision under section 84(1) to cancel the enrolment of a student;</li> <li>(g) a decision under section 92(6) to confirm a decision to exclude a student;</li> <li>(h) a decision under section 93(6) not to revoke a decision to expel a student.</li> </ul> <p>Section 178 prescribes who is an affected person for a Tribunal reviewable decision.</p>



1 January 2015	<i>Energy Pipelines Act 1981</i>	Original	<p>This is an Act to make provision for the construction, operation, maintenance and cessation of use or abandonment of pipelines for the conveyance of energy-producing hydro-carbons, and for the related purposes.</p> <p>NTCAT has original jurisdiction to determine compensation payable to a licence holder whose licence is varied in the public interest by the Minister (see section 26); determine applications seeking rectification of entries to the Register of Licences under the Act (see section 56); and determine applications in respect of disputes about compensation payable under section 67B(1) or section 67B(2) (see section 67B).</p>
14 November 2018	<i>Expungement of Historical Homosexual Offence Records Act 2018</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to establish a scheme to expunge historical homosexual offences from criminal records, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 30 provides that NTCAT has jurisdiction to review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) a decision to refuse to expunge a charge or conviction; and</li> <li>(b) a decision to revoke a determination to expunge a charge or conviction.</li> </ul> <p>The following persons may apply to NTCAT for a review of the decision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the applicant;</li> <li>(b) the person who is the subject of the application, if that person is not the applicant.</li> </ul> <p>The hearing of the review is closed to the public. The publication of information about proceedings that identifies the person to whom the hearing relates or enables the identity of the person to be ascertained is prohibited, unless NTCAT makes an order authorising the publication of information where it is satisfied that the benefit of publication outweighs the interference with the person's privacy.</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Fences Act 1972</i>	Original	<p>This is an Act relating to fences.</p> <p>NTCAT has original jurisdiction to hear applications relating to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the construction or line of a proposed fence;</li> <li>• the kind of fence proposed;</li> <li>• the repair of an existing fence;</li> <li>• responsibility as between adjoining owners for building and/or paying for the</li> </ul>

	<i>Fences Act 1972</i>		<p>proposed fence or repairs;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the time within which the fence shall be constructed or repaired; and/or</li> <li>compensation for the loss of occupation of any land as a result of the construction of the fence the subject of the order.</li> </ul>
2 March 2020	<i>Firearms Act 1997</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for the regulation, control and registration of firearms, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 49L provides that NTCAT has jurisdiction to review a decision by the Commissioner to make a firearm prohibition order under section 49E, and that an affected person is a person who is subject to a firearm prohibition order.</p> <p>Under section 49M, NTCAT may review a decision by the Commissioner to make a firearm prohibition order under section 49E during the operation of the firearm prohibition order once:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If the affected person is over the age of 18, at any time after more than half the time for which the order in force has expired; or</li> <li>If the affected person is under the age of 18, every 12 months after the order was served.</li> </ul>
1 January 2017	<i>Fisheries Act 1988</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for the regulation, conservation and management of fisheries and fishery resources so as to maintain their sustainable utilisation, to regulate the sale and processing of fish and aquatic life, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 50A provides that NTCAT has jurisdiction to review:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a decision specified in Schedule 3, unless the decision was a delegate decision; or</li> <li>a decision made on the review under section 50 of a decision specified in Schedule 3 that was a delegate decision; or</li> <li>a decision prescribed by regulation or a management plan to be a reviewable decision.</li> </ol> <p>Schedule 3 lists the following as reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>refusal of application for grant or renewal of licence or permit (section 13(3) or 16(6));</li> <li>imposition or variation of condition of licence or permit (section 14(1) or (5));</li> </ul>

	<i>Fisheries Act 1988</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• refusal to approve licence transfer (section 16A(4));</li> <li>• refusal to grant special permit (section 17);</li> <li>• revocation of special permit (section 17(6));</li> <li>• refusal of application to be an approved operator (section 17A(3));</li> <li>• revocation of approval of approved operator (section 17D);</li> <li>• cancellation or suspension of licence or permit under section 20A; and</li> <li>• refusal of application under section 33(3) for release of property seized.</li> </ul> <p>Section 50A(3) prescribes who is an affected person for a reviewable decision.</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Gaming Control Act 1993</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to licence and control casinos and gaming, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Part 5B of the Act provides that an affected person for a reviewable decision may apply to NTCAT for a review of the decision (see section 68CC(1)).</p> <p>Section 68CA provides that a reviewable decision is a decision prescribed by regulation.</p> <p>Section 68CB provides that an affected person for a reviewable decision is a person prescribed by regulation.</p> <p>Regulation 3 of the <i>Gaming Control (Reviewable Decisions) Regulations 2014</i> provide that the following are reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) a decision specified in the Schedule, unless the decision was made by a delegate of the Director-General;</li> <li>(b) a decision made on the review, under Part 3 of the <i>Licensing (Director-General) Act 2014</i> of a decision that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) is specified in the Schedule; and</li> <li>(ii) was made by a delegate of the Director-General.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>The Schedule lists the following as reviewable decisions:</p> <p><i>Gaming Control (Community Gaming) Regulations 2006:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Decision to approve or refuse to approve change (see Regulation 14(2))</li> <li>• Decision to grant or refuse to grant permit (see Regulation 32)</li> </ul>

	<i>Gaming Control Act 1993</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision to grant approval or refuse to grant approval (see Regulation 43(1))</li> </ul> <p><i>Gaming Control (Gaming Machines) Regulations 1995:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision to grant or refuse application (see Regulation 4)</li> </ul> <p><i>Gaming Control (Internet Gaming) Regulations 1998:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision to approve or refuse to approve computer system or change (see Regulation 4(2))</li> <li>Decision to approve or refuse proposed control system or proposed change (see Regulation 10)</li> <li>Decision to grant or refuse to grant internet gaming key employee licence (see Regulation 17)</li> <li>Decision to renew or not to renew full internet gaming key employee licence (see Regulation 23)</li> </ul> <p><i>Gaming Control (Licensing) Regulations 1995:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision to grant or refuse to grant licence (see Regulation 7)</li> <li>Decision to take action under regulation 13(4) (see Regulation 13)</li> </ul> <p>Regulation 4 prescribes who is an affected person for a reviewable decision.</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Gaming Machine Act 1995</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for regulation and control of gaming machines and for related purposes.</p> <p>Part 9A provides that an affected person for a reviewable decision may apply to NTCAT for a review of the decision (see section 166C(1)).</p> <p>Section 166A provides that a reviewable decision is a decision specified in the Schedule.</p> <p>The Schedule lists the following as reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision to grant or refuse application (see sections 25, 39A, 41, 42H);</li> <li>Decision regarding number of gaming machines authorised for use under the licence (see section 25(12));</li> </ul>

	<i>Gaming Machine Act 1995</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Decision to impose conditions or further conditions, or vary conditions, on licence (see section 34);</li> <li>• Decision to decrease number of gaming machines authorised for use under licence (section 42(1));</li> <li>• Decision to grant, or refuse to grant, application (see section 43(9));</li> <li>• Decision to give directions to licensee or cancel or suspend gaming machine licence (see section 49(9)(c))</li> <li>• Decision to suspend gaming machine licence (see section 50);</li> <li>• Decision to grant, or refuse to grant, licence (see section 65);</li> <li>• Decision to impose conditions or further conditions on, or vary conditions of, licence (see section 70);</li> <li>• Decision to renew, or not to renew, licence (see section 71(5));</li> <li>• Decision to give directions to holder of licence or cancel or suspend licence (see section 79(8)(c));</li> <li>• Decision to suspend licence (see section 80);</li> <li>• Decision to grant, impose conditions on or cancel provisional licence (see section 82);</li> <li>• Decision to approve, or refuse to approve, person to be recognised manufacturer or supplier of gaming machines (see section 116);</li> <li>• Decision to approve, or refuse to approve, person to be recognised supplier of restricted components (see section 117);</li> <li>• Decision to approve or refuse application for approval under section 134(1) (see section 134); and</li> <li>• Decision to direct termination of agreement (section 165(11)).</li> </ul> <p>Section 166B prescribes who is an affected person for a reviewable decision.</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Geothermal Energy Act 2009</i>	Original & Review	<p>This is an Act about the rights to conduct activities for the exploration of geothermal energy resources and the production of geothermal energy, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 80 confers original jurisdiction on NTCAT to issue a summons ordering a caveator to appear before NTCAT to give reasons why the caveat should not be removed from the register or cancelled. NTCAT may make the orders it considers appropriate, including an order that the caveat be removed from the register; or if the caveat has not yet been entered in the register – the caveat be cancelled.</p>

			<p>Section 123 provides that the regulations may specify that a review of a decision made by the Minister may be conducted by NTCAT.</p> <p>Part 5 of the <i>Geothermal Energy Regulations 2009</i> provide that a person aggrieved by a reviewable decision may apply to NTCAT for a review of the decision.</p> <p>A reviewable decision is a decision that is required to be notified by a reviewable decision notice and includes an action taken to implement the decision; and a refusal to approve something that is the subject of an application; and a refusal to take action that is the subject of an application see regulations 4, 5, 11, 16, 22, 25).</p>
28 July 2016	<i>Guardianship of Adults Act 2016</i>	Original	<p>This is an Act to provide for the guardianship of adults, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 77 confers original jurisdiction on NTCAT.</p> <p>Section 11(1) provides that NTCAT can make an order appointing a guardian for an adult if satisfied that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the adult has impaired decision-making capacity; and</li> <li>(b) the effect of the impairment is that, for some or all personal matters or financial matters, the adult is unable to exercise decision-making capacity; and</li> <li>(c) the adult is in need of a guardian for some or all of those matters.</li> </ul> <p>Section 16 provides that NTCAT must specify in a guardianship order the personal matters or financial matters, or both personal matters and financial matters, for which the guardian has authority.</p> <p>Other matters in relation to which NTCAT has original jurisdiction, include to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Make an interim guardianship order (see section 20);</li> <li>• Make orders as to the exercise by a guardian of the guardian's authority (see section 33);</li> <li>• Make orders as to the transfer of decision-making authority from former guardians (see section 34);</li> </ul>

	<i>Guardianship of Adults Act 2016</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Make orders about the represented adult's compliance with guardian's decisions in the exercise of the guardian's authority (see section 35); and</li> <li>• Conduct a reassessment of a guardianship order on, or as soon as practicable after, the reassessment date specified in the order or upon application of the represented adult or interested person (see section 36);</li> <li>• Vary or revoke a guardianship order (see section 39);</li> <li>• Give approval for a guardian to be reimbursed or remunerated (see Part 2, Division 9);</li> <li>• Register an interstate order (see section 54); and</li> <li>• Make a health assessment order (see section 67)</li> </ul> <p>When exercising jurisdiction under this Act, NTCAT must act in accordance with the guardianship principles (see section 78 and 4).</p> <p>Guardianship proceedings are not open to the public (see section 80).</p>
1 June 2015	<i>Health Practitioner Regulation (National Uniform Legislation) Act 2010</i>  <i>Health Practitioners Act 2004</i>  <i>Health Practitioner Regulation National Law (NT)</i>	Original & Review	<p><i>Health Practitioner Regulation (National Uniform Legislation) Act 2010</i> is an Act to apply as a law of the Territory a national law relating to health practitioner regulation, and for related purposes.</p> <p><i>Health Practitioners Act 2004</i> is an Act to provide for the Pharmacy Premises Committee and Health Professional Review Tribunal, and for other purposes relating to health practitioners.</p> <p>Section 64 of the <i>Health Practitioners Act 2004</i> provides that NTCAT must hear each matter for which it has functions under the <i>Health Practitioner Regulation National Law (NT)</i>.</p> <p>Section 4 of the <i>Health Practitioner Regulation (National Uniform Legislation) Act 2010</i> provides that the <i>Health Practitioner Regulation National Law Act 2009 (Qld)</i> applies as a law of the Northern Territory and as so applying may be referred to as the <i>Health Practitioner Regulation National Law (NT)</i>.</p> <p>Section 6 of the <i>Health Practitioner Regulation (National Uniform Legislation) Act 2010</i> provides that NTCAT is declared to be responsible tribunal for the <i>Health Practitioner Regulation National Law (NT)</i>.</p>



			<p>Section 6A of the <i>Health Practitioners Regulation (National Uniform Legislation) Act 2010</i> provides that a person who is subject to a prohibition order made by NTCAT may apply to NTCAT to dismiss the application; make an order ending the prohibition order; make an order shortening the period of the prohibition order; make an order amending the probation order.</p> <p>Section 6B of the <i>Health Practitioner Regulation (National Uniform Legislation) Act 2010</i> provides that a person may appeal against an appellable decision under section 199 of the <i>Health Practitioner Regulation National Law (NT)</i> An appellable decision means an appellable decision as defined in section 199(1) of the <i>Health Practitioner Regulation National Law (NT)</i>.</p> <p>Division 12 of the <i>Health Practitioner Regulation National Law (NT)</i> sets out when matters are to be referred to NTCAT to be heard in its original jurisdiction.</p> <p>Specifically, section 193 of the <i>Health Practitioner Regulation National Law (NT)</i> provides:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) A National Board must refer a matter about a registered health practitioner or student to a responsible tribunal if – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) for a registered health practitioner, the Board reasonably believes, based on a notification or for any other reason – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) the practitioner has behaved in a way that constitutes professional misconduct; or</li> <li>(ii) the practitioner's registration was improperly obtained because the practitioner or someone else gave the Board information or a document that was false or misleading in a material particular; or</li> </ul> </li> <li>(b) for a registered health practitioner or student, a panel established by the Board requires the Board to refer the matter to a responsible tribunal.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Section 199(1) of the <i>Health Practitioner Regulation National Law (NT)</i> provides that a person who is subject of any of the following decisions may appeal against the decision to NTCAT in its review jurisdiction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) a decision by a National Board to refuse to register the person;</li> <li>(b) a decision by a National Board to refuse to endorse the person's registration;</li> </ul>
--	--	--	---

	<i>Health Practitioner Regulation National Law (NT)</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(c) a decision by a National Board to refuse to renew the person's registration;</li> <li>(d) a decision by a National Board to refuse to renew the endorsement of the person's registration;</li> <li>(e) a decision by a National Board to impose or change a condition on a person's registration or the endorsement of the person's registration, other than— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) a condition relating to the person's qualification for general registration in the health profession; and</li> <li>(ii) a condition imposed by section 112(3)(a);</li> </ul> </li> <li>(f) a decision by a National Board to refuse to change or remove a condition imposed on the person's registration or the endorsement of the person's registration;</li> <li>(g) a decision by a National Board to refuse to change or revoke an undertaking given by the person to the Board;</li> <li>(h) a decision by a National Board to suspend the person's registration;</li> <li>(i) a decision by a panel to impose a condition on the person's registration;</li> <li>(j) a decision by a health panel to suspend the person's registration;</li> <li>(ja) a decision by a health panel not to revoke a suspension;</li> <li>(k) a decision by a performance and professional standards panel to reprimand the person.</li> </ul>
6 May 2020	<i>Hemp Industry Act 2019</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to regulate the development and operation of a hemp industry.</p> <p>Section 21 provides that an affected person may apply to NTCAT for a review of a reviewable decision.</p> <p>Reviewable decisions are specified in Schedule 1.</p> <p>The following are reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Decision refusing to issue or renew a licence under section 13</li> <li>• Decision imposing a condition under section 15(2)</li> <li>• Decision amending or revoking or refusing to amend or revoke a condition under section 17</li> <li>• Decision suspending or cancelling a licence under section 19</li> </ul>
1 January 2015	<i>Heritage Act 2011</i>	Original & Review	This is an Act about the Territory's cultural and natural heritage.

	<i>Heritage Act 2011</i>		<p>Section 80 provides that a heritage officer may, by written notice (a <i>stop work order</i>), given to the person order the person to stop the work stated in the notice; or prohibit the person from starting the work stated in the notice. Section 81 provides that within one business day after issuing the stop work order, the heritage officer must apply to NTCAT (in its original jurisdiction) for an order confirming it.</p> <p>Section 91 provides that an affected person may apply to NTCAT for a review of a reviewable decision.</p> <p>Reviewable decisions are specified in Schedule 1.</p> <p>The following are reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council decision that a place or object is not of heritage significance on a heritage nomination (section 25(1));</li> <li>• Council decision not to recommend declaration of a place or object as a heritage place or object (section 27);</li> <li>• Council or Minister's decision on application for work approval (section 74 (1)).</li> </ul>
30 November 2017	<i>Independent Commissioner Against Corruption Act 2017</i>	Original	<p>This is an Act to establish an Independent Commissioner Against Corruption and to provide for the protection of whistle blowers.</p> <p>Section 102 provides that a person who engages in retaliation (as defined in section 95) against a person who may report corruption, then that person is liable to pay an amount to the victim as compensation. Compensation may be recovered as a small claim in NTCAT.</p>

1 May 2016	<i>Information Act 2002</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for public access to information held by the public sector, to provide for the correction of personal information held by the public sector, to provide for the responsible collection and handling of personal information by the public sector, to promote appropriate records and archives management in the public sector, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Part 7 prescribes when a person may make a complaint to the Information Commissioner about a decision.</p> <p>Section 112A provides that the complainant may apply to the Commissioner to refer a complaint to NTCAT if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the Commissioner decides under section 110(3) there is sufficient prima facie evidence to substantiate the matter complained of and that matter is not resolved by mediation or other agreement; or</li> <li>(b) the Commissioner dismisses the complaint under section 110(5).</li> </ul> <p>Part 7A, Division 2 provides that complaints referred to NTCAT form part of NTCAT's review jurisdiction, and sets out how NTCAT is to deal with complaints.</p> <p>Section 123(1) provides that hearings are closed to the public unless NTCAT orders otherwise.</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Kava Management Act 1998</i>	Review	<p>Section 80 provides that an affected person for a reviewable decision may apply to NTCAT for a review of the decision.</p> <p>Section 78 provides that a reviewable decision is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) a decision specified in Schedule 2, unless the decision was made by a delegate of the Director-General; or</li> <li>(b) a decision made on the review, under Part 3 of the <i>Licensing (Director-General) Act 2014</i>, of a decision that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) is specified in Schedule 2; and</li> <li>(ii) was made by a delegate of the Director-General.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>The following are reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Decision to issue, or refuse to grant, licence (see section 64);</li> <li>• Decision to renew, or refuse to renew, licence (see section 65);</li> <li>• Decision to vary conditions of licence (see section 67);</li> </ul>

	<i>Kava Management Act 1998</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision to suspend, impose condition on or vary condition of licence (see section 76); and</li> <li>Decision to cancel licence (see section 77).</li> </ul> <p>Section 79 provides who is an affected person for a reviewable decision.</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Lands Acquisition Act 1978</i>	Original & Review	<p>This is an Act relating to the acquisition of land by the Territory.</p> <p>Under Part IV, NTCAT has jurisdiction to make recommendations about objections to the acquisition of land upon the application of the Minister or person objecting to the acquisition.</p> <p>Under Part VIII, NTCAT has original jurisdiction to hear and determine whether a claimant for compensation is entitled to compensation under the Act and the amount of compensation to which the claimant is entitled.</p>
1 July 2015	<i>Legislative Assembly Members' Pension Act 1979</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to establish a Contributory Superannuation Scheme for certain Members of the Legislative Assembly elected before the scheme closure date, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 27B provides that a person entitled, or claiming to be entitled, to a benefit under that Act may apply to the Commissioner of Superannuation for payment of that benefit.</p> <p>The applicant may under Part 5A of the <i>Superannuation Act 1986</i> seek the review of the Commissioner's decision by NTCAT.</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Liquor Act 2019</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to govern the sale, supply, service, promotion and consumption of all forms of liquor and alcohol products for the purpose of minimising their associated harm and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 31(1) provides that any decision of the Commission for which a decision notice is required under this Act is reviewable by NTCAT, which includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>application for a licence or authority (see sections 52 and 60);</li> <li>application from a proposed transferee to authorise the transfer of a licence from the licensee to the proposed transferee (see sections 72 and 73);</li> </ul>

	<i>Liquor Act 2019</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• application from a licensee for approval of a material alteration to the licensed premises (see sections 96 and 97);</li> <li>• application from a licensee to vary the conditions of a licence or authority (see sections 110 and 112);</li> <li>• decision by the Commission, on its own initiative, to vary the conditions of a licence or an authority (see section 113);</li> <li>• decision by the Commission to impose a condition related to sale price manipulation (see sections 124);</li> <li>• decision by the Commission to take disciplinary action against a licensee (see section 166);</li> <li>• application by any person for the declaration of a general restricted area (see sections 174 and 177); and</li> <li>• application by the Commissioner of Police to suspend a licence or an authority if the licensed premises are drug premises as defined in section 11A of the <i>Misuse of Drugs Act 1990</i> (see section 261).</li> </ul> <p>Section 31(2) provides who may apply to NTCAT for a review of a decision under section 31(1)).</p>
1 June 2015	<i>Local Government Act 2008</i>	Original & Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for, and regulate, local government.</p> <p>Section 232 provides that NTCAT has jurisdiction in the following matters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) to determine eligibility for membership of a council (section 40);</li> <li>(b) to declare a decision of a council, local authority, local board or council committee void where it is vitiated by conflict of interest (section 74);</li> <li>(c) to review a decision of a disciplinary committee (section 82);</li> <li>(d) to determine an electoral dispute (section 94);</li> <li>(e) to review a surcharge (section 213); and</li> <li>(f) to review a decision by a council on review of a reviewable decision.</li> </ul>
9 June 2015	<i>Marine Act 1981</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to regulate shipping within the Territory and to provide for the application to the Territory of the uniform shopping laws code and for related matters.</p> <p>Section 141 provides that, a person aggrieved by a decision of the Director may apply to NTCAT for the review of a decision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) refusing an application for the grant or renewal of a licence; or</li> </ul>

	<i>Marine Act 1981</i>		<p>(b) determining or varying the conditions upon which a licence was granted, varied or renewed; or</p> <p>(c) cancelling or suspending a licence; or</p> <p>(d) requiring information or prescribed records to be furnished.</p>
3 June 2020	<i>Mental Health and Related Service Act 1998</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for the care, treatment and protection of people with mental illness and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 118 of the Act provides that NTCAT has jurisdiction to deal with matters under the Act. Those matters include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review of long term voluntary admissions (s 122)</li> <li>• Review of involuntary admissions and community management orders (s 123); and</li> <li>• Determining whether a person is able to give informed consent (s 126).</li> </ul>
1 January 2015	<i>Mineral Titles Act 2010</i>	Original and review	<p>This is an Act about exploration for, and extraction and proceeding of, minerals and extractive minerals in the Territory, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 161 provides for the making of an application to NTCAT under the Act. Section 162 further states that the regulations may provide for other matters under the Act in relation to which a person may make an application to NTCAT.</p> <p>Regulation 114 provides that a person may apply to NTCAT for a review of a reviewable decision, being a decision made by the Minister as listed in Schedule 2, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a refusal of a mineral title application (see section 70(1) or (2) of the Act);</li> <li>• a refusal of an application under Part 7, Division 1 of the Act (see section 126(4) of the Act);</li> <li>• a decision to convert a corresponding mineral title to another type of title, but only in relation to the part of the decision about the type of title to which it is to be converted (see section 203(3)(c)(v) of the Act); and</li> <li>• a decision under section 204(3)(a) of the Act to convert a non-compliant existing interest to a mineral title, but only in relation to the part of the decision about the type of title to which the existing interest is to be converted (see section 204(4)(d) of the Act).</li> </ul>



	<i>Mineral Titles Act 2010</i>		<p>In the case of a review of a reviewable decision, NTCAT is to make a recommendation about the decision (see section 115).</p> <p>Regulation 116 provides that a person may apply to the Tribunal for a decision about a dispute relating to preliminary exploration, a mineral title, a title area, a proposed title area or fossicking (see sections 21, 78, 110, 111, 126 and 133 of the Act and regulations 76 and 104 of the Regulations).</p>
1 July 2019	<i>National Disability Insurance Scheme Authorisations Act 2019</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for the authorisations of restrictive practices for participants in the National Disability Insurance Scheme.</p> <p>Section 28 provides that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) The NTCAT has jurisdiction to review a decision under section 26.</li> <li>(2) A <b>Tribunal affected person</b> for the decision is the applicant under section 22 and any other person who could have applied under that section for an internal review of the reviewable decision.</li> <li>(3) A tribunal affected person for the decision made under section 26 may apply to the NTCAT for a review of the decision.</li> </ol> <p>It is noted that section 26 relates to a decision by the Chief Executive Officer on internal review.</p>
1 July 2020	<i>National Disability Insurance Scheme (Worker Clearance) Act 2020</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for clearance for people providing support and services to participants in the National Disability Insurance Scheme and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 43 provides that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NTCAT has jurisdiction to review a decision (reviewable decision) of the internal reviewer to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ impose an interim bar, or suspend a current clearance; or</li> <li>○ give intention to refuse clearance or to cancel a clearance</li> </ul> </li> <li>• A Tribunal affected person is the applicant or the person whose clearance has been suspended or cancelled, and that such persons may apply to NTCAT for a review of a reviewable decision;</li> <li>• That to apply to NTCAT a person must have already applied for an internal review and that internal review must have decided a result adverse to the Tribunal affected person.</li> </ul>

1 January 2015	<i>Northern Territory Civil and Administrative Tribunal (Conferral of Jurisdiction for Native Title Matters) Act 2014</i>	Original	<p>This is an Act to confer jurisdiction on the Northern Territory Civil and Administrative Tribunal in relation to Native Title matters.</p> <p>Section 4 provides that NTCAT, as the independent body mentioned in section 24MD(6B)(f) of the <i>Native Title Act</i>, may hear and make recommendations about objections mentioned in section 24MD(6B)(d) of that Act.</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Pastoral Land Act 1992</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to make provision for the conversion and granting of title to pastoral land and the administration, management and conservation of pastoral land, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Part 9 of the Act provides that NTCAT has jurisdiction to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• hear and make recommendations about objections by registered native title claimants and registered native title bodies corporate to the extension of grant of a pastoral lease under section 49, 61, 62 or 64 of the Act so far as it affects the registered native title rights and interests of the claimants and bodies (see section 117);</li> <li>• review a decision of the Minister under Part 8 which relates to Aboriginal community living areas (see section 118);</li> <li>• review a decision or action, where a pastoral lessee is dissatisfied with a decision or action of the Board; or a decision of the Minister or the Valuer-General on an objection to a determination referred to in section 121(1); a decision of the Minister under section 40; or a determination of the Agency under section 54 (see section 119).</li> </ul> <p>Pursuant to section 72C, NTCAT can also determine disputes about compensation payable by the Territory to the native title holder in respect of any land affected by an extension or grant related to compulsory acquisitions.</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Petroleum Act 1984 and Petroleum Regulations 2020</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to regulate the exploration for, and the production of, petroleum.</p> <p>NTCAT has jurisdiction to hear and make recommendations about objections to the prescribed petroleum act under section 57J and section 57KA, which relate to consultation and mediation under section 57H.</p>

	<i>Petroleum Act 1984 and Petroleum Regulations 2020</i>		<p>NTCAT has jurisdiction to determine disputes about compensation under section 57P, section 57V, section 81 and section 82.</p> <p>NTCAT also has jurisdiction under section 103 to make orders as it thinks fit directing the rectification of the Register kept in pursuance of section 90(2).</p> <p>Regulation 29 provides that if the alternative dispute resolution process is not successful, a party may apply to NTCAT for a determination of access agreement under regulation 26 (1)(a) or (b).</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Planning Act 1999</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for appropriate and orderly planning and control of the use and development of land, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Part 9 of the Act provides that NTCAT has jurisdiction to determine planning appeals from decisions of the Development Consent Authority, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• review of refusal to issue development permit (see section 111);</li> <li>• review if consent authority does not determine application (see section 112);</li> <li>• review of refusal to extend period of development permit (see section 113);</li> <li>• review of determination of concurrent application or development application (see section 114);</li> <li>• review of refusal to refund or remit contribution (see section 115);</li> <li>• review of refusal to vary condition of development permit (see section 116); and</li> <li>• applications by third parties for review in respect of concurrent applications and development application (see section 117).</li> </ul>
7 October 2014	<i>Poppy Regulation Act 2014</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to regulate the cultivation and processing of poppies.</p> <p>Section 13(1) of the Act provides that a person on whom a decision notice has been served may apply to NTCAT for review of the decision. Decision notices are issued under the Act in relation to decisions affecting poppy licences made by the Poppy Licensing Authority. These include refusing to grant a licence, amending a licence, suspending a licence and cancelling a licence.</p>

9 June 2015	<i>Ports Management Act 2015</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act for the control, management and operation of ports, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 145 provides that an affected person for a reviewable decision may apply to NTCAT for a review of the decision.</p> <p>Reviewable decisions are specified in Schedule 1. Affected persons, for a reviewable decision, are persons specified in Schedule 1 opposite the decision.</p> <p>The following are reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A decision by the regional harbourmaster for a designated port to withhold an approval under section 53(1) or impose a condition on such an approval;</li> <li>• A decision by the Minister to refuse an application under section 54(1);</li> <li>• A decision by the Minister under section 55(1) to suspend or cancel a licence under Part 6;</li> <li>• A decision of a pilotage authority of a kind mentioned in section 84(1);</li> <li>• A decision by the Minister under section 89(1) to terminate, or suspend for a specified period, the appointment of a person as a pilotage services provider;</li> <li>• A decision by the Minister under section 89(3) to vary a condition of the appointment of a person as a pilotage services provider.</li> </ul>
1 January 2015	<i>Private Security Act 1995</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for the regulation of the provision of security services and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 53E provides that a reviewable decision is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) a decision specified in the Schedule, unless the decision was made by a delegate of the Director-General; or</li> <li>(b) a decision made by a delegate of the Director-General under section 18 to refuse to grant a licence because the applicant is not an appropriate person to hold the licence under section 15(7);</li> <li>(c) a decision made on the review, under Part 3 of the <i>Licensing (Director-General) Act 2014</i>, of a decision that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) is specified in the Schedule; and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	<i>Private Security Act 1995</i>		<p>(ii) was made by a delegate of the Director-General.</p> <p>Section 53F provides who is an affected person for a reviewable decision. Reviewable decisions are specified in the Schedule and affected persons are persons specified in the Schedule opposite the decision.</p> <p>The following are reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• decision to refuse to grant licence (see section 18);</li> <li>• decision to impose condition on licence (see section 19);</li> <li>• decision to amend conditions on licence (see section 20(2));</li> <li>• decision to refuse to amend conditions on licence (see section 20(2C));</li> <li>• decision not to extend period of provisional licence (see section 23(4));</li> <li>• decision to refuse to replace licence (see section 25);</li> <li>• decision to suspend, cancel, or refuse to renew licence or to impose penalty (see section 27(2));</li> <li>• decision to determine complaint (see section 53D);</li> <li>• decision to refuse to grant licence on the ground that the applicant is not an appropriate person to hold a licence because the applicant has been convicted of an offence mentioned in section 15(7)).</li> </ul>
10 August 2016	<i>Racing and Betting Act 1983</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to control racing and betting, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 69N provides that an affected person may apply to NTCAT to review an internal review by the Chief Executive Officer.</p>
1 June 2015	<i>Residential Tenancies Act 1999</i>	Original & Review	<p>This is an Act to regulate the relationship of landlord and tenant under residential tenancy agreements and for related purposes.</p> <p>Applications may be made to NTCAT by both landlords and tenants of residential premises to enforce their rights under tenancy agreements and the Act.</p> <p>Applications in NTCAT's original jurisdiction include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• declaration that the rent payable is excessive (see section 42);</li> <li>• order for emergency repairs (see section 63);</li> <li>• order for tenant to let landlord enter premises (see section 77);</li> <li>• order for termination of tenancy (see Part 11, Division 4);</li> </ul>

	<i>Residential Tenancies Act 1999</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• order for possession (see section 104);</li> <li>• disputes relating to security deposits (see section 113); and</li> <li>• compensation (see Part 13, Division 2).</li> </ul> <p>Decisions made by NTCAT in its original jurisdiction may be reviewed under section 140 of the <i>Northern Territory Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act 2014</i> by NTCAT.</p>
12 June 2020	<i>Sex Industry Act 2020</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for a regulatory framework for the sex industry.</p> <p>Section 23 provides that an affected person may apply to NTCAT for a review of a reviewable decision.</p> <p>The following persons may apply for a review to NTCAT for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An applicant who has been refused a suitability certificate (see section 19); and</li> <li>• A certificate holder who has had their suitability certificate revoked (see section 21).</li> </ul>
1 May 2016	<i>Small Claims Act 2016</i>	Original & Review	<p>This is an Act relating to small claims.</p> <p>Section 6 of the Act provides that NTCAT has jurisdiction to deal with a claim for any of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the recovery of an amount that does not exceed the small claims limit;</li> <li>(b) the performance of work, to a value that does not exceed the small claims limit;</li> <li>(c) relief from payment of an amount of money that does not exceed the small claims limit;</li> <li>(d) the return or replacement of goods, to a value that does not exceed the small claims limit.</li> </ol> <p><i>Notes for section 6</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>Under section 32 of the Tribunal Act, the small claims jurisdiction comes within the Tribunal's original jurisdiction.</i></li> <li>2. <i>Section 13A of the Local Court Act confers limited concurrent jurisdiction on the Local Court in relation to claims mentioned in this section.</i></li> </ol>

1 July 2015	<i>Superannuation Act 1986</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for the administration of various superannuation schemes for certain public sector employees and other persons, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 49H of the Act provides that NTCAT has jurisdiction to deal with matters under Part 5A of the Act.</p> <p>Section 49B provides that a reviewable decision for this part, is any decision made by the Commissioner, in relation to a particular person, about the operation or management of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the NTGPAS Scheme; or</li> <li>(b) the NTGDI Scheme; or</li> <li>(c) the NTSS Scheme; or</li> <li>(d) the LAMS Scheme; or</li> <li>(e) any superannuation scheme in relation to which the Commissioner exercises powers or performs functions.</li> </ul> <p>Section 49G defines who may apply to NTCAT for a review of a decision made by the Commissioner.</p>
1 January 2020	<i>Teacher Registration (Northern Territory) Act 2004</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for the registration of teachers and the regulation of the teaching profession and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 73C provides that NTCAT has jurisdiction to review a decision (a reviewable decision) specified in the Schedule, and that an affected person is a person specified in the schedule.</p> <p>Reviewable decisions under the Schedule include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Decision to refuse an application for registration (see section 36);</li> <li>• Decision to impose a condition on registration (see section 36);</li> <li>• Decision to refuse renewal (see section 39);</li> <li>• Decision to take no further action in relation to a complaint (see section 58);</li> <li>• Decision to take action without first holding an inquiry (see section 58);</li> <li>• Decision made after inquiry in relation to a teacher or authorised person (see section 64);</li> </ul>



			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision made to cancel a teacher's registration (see sections 65A and 66).</li> </ul>
1 January 2015	<i>Termination of Units Plans and Unit Titles Schemes Act 2014</i>	Original & Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for the termination of unit plans and unit title schemes, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Applications may be made to NTCAT under the following sections of the Act:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Part 4, section 10(2)(c) – Appeals against decision of the schemes supervisor to serve explanatory notice under section 10(1)(c)(i).</li> <li>Part 4, section 10(5)(b) – Application for an order with same effect as an approval certificate where schemes supervisor has not acted in time under section 10(1).</li> <li>Part 4, section 11(4) – Application for order requiring body corporate to comply with obligations under section 11(2) (in relation to notifying and holding meeting to vote on proposed termination).</li> <li>Part 4, section 12(1)(c)(iv) and 12(1)(d) – Application by objecting owner or mortgagee for order under Part 5 of Act (see section 16(2)).</li> <li>Part 4, section 12(3) – Application by proponent of termination for order for sale of objecting owner's unit to proponent (where objecting owner has not taken action under section 12(1) or complied with section 12(2)).</li> <li>Part 4, section 12(9) – Application to extend 14 day period for proponent to notify Registrar General of termination resolution.</li> <li>Part 4, section 13(5)(b) – Application by objecting owner for order under Part 5 of Act (see section 16(2)) where no agreement between objecting owner and proponent regarding sale of unit.</li> <li>Part 4, section 13(6) – Applications by objecting owner or proponent for various orders relating to section 13 process for agreeing sale of unit to proponent.</li> <li>Part 5, section 15(a) and 16(1) – Application for order in relation to termination of a development to which Part 4 does not apply (that is, less than 10 units or less than 15 years old) - see section 17 for types of orders.</li> <li>Part 5, section 15(b) and 16(2) - Application for order in relation to termination of a development to which Part 4 applies - see section 17 for types of orders.</li> </ul>

	<i>Termination of Units Plans and Unit Titles Schemes Act 2014</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Part 6, section 19(2) – Application to extend 12 month period for registration of termination under section 54G of <i>Land Title Act 2000</i>.</li> </ul> <p>The relevant part of the Act prescribes who may make application to NTCAT.</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Tobacco Control Act 2002</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to restrict smoking in certain public places and workplaces, to regulate packaging, advertising (including through sponsorship), and display of tobacco and other smoking products, to regulate conduct of premises at which tobacco and other smoking products are sold by retail, to prohibit sale and supply of tobacco and other smoking products to children, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 41B of the Act provides that an affected person for a reviewable decision may apply to NTCAT for a review of the decision.</p> <p>Section 41 provides that a reviewable decision is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) a decision specified in the Schedule, unless the decision was made by a delegate of the Director-General; or</li> <li>(b) a decision made on the review, under Part 3 of the <i>Licensing (Director-General) act 2014</i>, of a decision that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) is specified in the Schedule; and</li> <li>(ii) was made by a delegate of the Director-General.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><i>Note for section 41</i></p> <p><i>A decision made under this Act by a delegate of the Director-General is not a reviewable decision but may be a delegate decision under the Licensing (Director-General) Act 2014. Part 3 of that Act sets out procedures for applying for a review of a delegate decision.</i></p> <p>The Schedule provides that a reviewable decision is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision to grant, or refuse to grant, tobacco retail licence (see section 29);</li> <li>Decision to grant, or refuse to grant, renewal of tobacco retail licence (see section 29A);</li> <li>Decision to vary, or refuse to vary, conditions of tobacco retail licence (see section 35);</li> <li>Decision to approve, or refuse to approve, transfer of tobacco retail licence (see section 36); and</li> </ul>

	<i>Tobacco Control Act 2002</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision to cancel or suspend licence (see section 38).</li> </ul> <p>Section 41A defines who is an affected person for a reviewable decision.</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Totalisator Licensing and Regulation Act 2000</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for the licensing and regulation of totalisators and wagering by means of a totalisator system in the Territory and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 84 provides that an affected person for a reviewable decision may apply to NTCAT for a review of the decision.</p> <p>Section 82(1) provides that a reviewable decision is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) a regulatory decision, unless the decision was made by a delegate of the Director-General; or</li> <li>(b) a decision made on the review, under Part 3 of the <i>Licensing (Director-General) Act</i>, of a decision that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) is a regulatory decision; and</li> <li>(ii) was made by a delegate of the Director-General.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><i>Note for subsection (1)</i></p> <p><i>A decision made under this Act by a delegate of the Director-General is not a reviewable decision but may be a delegate decision under the Licensing (Director-General) Act 2014. Part 3 of that Act sets out procedures for applying for a review of a delegate decision.</i></p> <p>Section 82(2) provides that a regulatory decision means a decision of the Director-General under this Act, other than a decision to grant, or refuse to grant, a licence.</p> <p>Section 83 defines who is an affected person for a reviewable decision.</p>
5 July 2016	<i>Training and Skills Development Act 2016</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for vocational education and training, to establish the Northern Territory Training Commission, to repeal the <i>Northern Territory Employment and Training Act 1999</i>, and for related purposes.</p> <p>Section 97 confers jurisdiction on NTCAT to review the following decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) a reviewable decision that is not a delegate decision; and</li> </ul>

	<p><i>Training and Skills Development Act 2016</i></p>		<p>(b) a decision under section 93(2) to reject an application under section 90; and (c) a decision under section 95.</p> <p>Section 97 also defines who is an affected person for the purpose of applying to NTCAT for a review of the above decisions.</p> <p>Reviewable decisions are specified in Schedule 1 and affected persons are persons specified in Schedule 1 opposite the decision.</p> <p>The following are reviewable decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a decision under section 38(3) to refuse to grant approval to employ an apprentice or a trainee or to impose a condition on the grant of approval to employ an apprentice or a trainee;</li> <li>• a decision under section 39(1)(a) to refuse to vary or revoke an approval or to vary or revoke an approval;</li> <li>• a decision under section 40 to declare an employer to be a prohibited employer;</li> <li>• a decision under section 43 not to revoke a declaration or to partly revoke a declaration;</li> <li>• a decision under section 50(1) to refuse to register a training contract or to cancel the registration of a training contract;</li> <li>• a decision under section 52(1) to cancel the registration of a training contract;</li> <li>• a decision under section 57 to refuse to approve an RTO or to impose a condition on the approval of an RTO;</li> <li>• a decision under section 59(1) to refuse to vary or revoke an approval, or to vary or revoke an approval;</li> <li>• a decision under section 63(1) to suspend the operation of a registered training contract;</li> <li>• a decision under section 64(2) to refuse to approve an application for the assignment of a registered training contract or to impose a condition on the approval of an application for the assignment of a registered training contract;</li> <li>• a decision to make a declaration under section 65(3);</li> </ul>
--	--	--	---

	<i>Training and Skills Development Act 2016</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a decision under section 68(2) made in resolving, or attempting to resolve, a dispute between the parties to a registered training contract;</li> <li>• a decision under section 76(1) to require the employer to keep records; and</li> <li>• a decision under section 76(1) to require the employer to provide copies of records.</li> </ul>
1 May 2016	<i>Unit Titles Act 1975</i>	Original & Review	<p>This is an Act relating to the subdivision of land into units and common property, and for other purposes.</p> <p>NTCAT's jurisdiction under this Act includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• to award damages where a developer fails to comply with a disclosure statement or complete a condominium development in accordance with the disclosure statement (see section 26H);</li> <li>• to award damages where a developer fails to comply with a disclosure statement or complete an estate development in accordance with the disclosure statement (see section 26V);</li> <li>• to determine an application made by a developer under section 26W(3) for an order consenting, in the name of the proprietor, to a proposed variation;</li> <li>• to award damages where a developer fails to comply with a disclosure statement or to complete a building development in accordance with the disclosure statement (see section 26ZO);</li> <li>• to determine an application made under section 90 to appoint a person as administrator of the corporation;</li> <li>• to determine an application made under section 91 to remove or replace the administrator of a corporation;</li> <li>• to determine an application made under section 93 to give directions as it thinks fit with regard to the manner in which the administrator shall perform his duties and functions and exercise his powers.</li> <li>• to determine an application made under section 98 for an order, approving a scheme that makes provision for the reinstatement of the building or other improvement, or, in a case where a unit has been damaged or destroyed, for the elimination of that unit and for any consequential alteration of the units plan;</li> <li>• to determine an application made under section 106 to resolve a dispute:</li> </ul>

	<i>Unit Titles Act 1975</i>		<p>(a) if a corporation, a mortgagee of a unit or a member claims that a breach of this Act or of the articles of the corporation has occurred;</p> <p>(b) if a member claims to have been prejudiced, as occupier of a unit, by the wrongful act or default of the corporation, the committee or another member;</p> <p>(c) if a member claims that a decision of the corporation or the committee is unreasonable, oppressive or unjust; or</p> <p>(d) if a dispute arises:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) between a corporation or the committee and a member; or</li> <li>(ii) between 2 or more members,</li> </ol> <p>in relation to any aspect of the occupation or use of a unit or the common property.</p> <p>NTCAT also has jurisdiction to review a decision of the schemes supervisor to certify or refuse to certify a by-law or an amendment or consolidation of a by-law (see section 79AB, section 79AD and section 122).</p>
1 May 2016	<i>Unit Title Schemes Act 2009</i>	Original & Review	<p>This is an Act to provide for unit title schemes, and for related purposes.</p> <p>NTCAT's original jurisdiction under this Act includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• to determine an application made under section 40 for the adjustment of the unit entitlements (including the unit entitlements of other units);</li> <li>• to determine an application made under section 59 for approval of a reinstatement process for damaged scheme land;</li> <li>• to determine an application made under section 61 for approval to vary an approved reinstatement process (whether or not it was approved by the Local Court or NTCAT);</li> <li>• to determine an application made under section 71 for two or more basic schemes that are not subsidiary schemes to be amalgamated to form a layered scheme;</li> <li>• to determine an application made under section 72 for two or more basic schemes that are not subsidiary schemes to be amalgamated to form a single new scheme to replace the basic schemes;</li> <li>• to determine an application made under section 85 to resolve a dispute relating to a scheme in any of the following circumstances (see section 84):</li> </ul>

	<i>Unit Title Schemes Act 2009</i>		<p>(a) the body corporate, or a unit owner, unit occupier or mortgagee of a unit, claims there is, or has been, a contravention of this Act by a person in relation to the scheme;</p> <p>(b) a unit owner claims to have been wrongfully treated by the body corporate, the committee, another unit owner or a unit occupier;</p> <p>(c) a unit owner claims a decision of the body corporate or committee is unreasonable, oppressive or unjust;</p> <p>(d) a dispute relating to a unit or the common property has arisen:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>between a unit owner and the body corporate or committee; or</li> <li>between 2 or more unit owners;</li> </ol> <p>(e) other circumstances prescribed by regulation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>to determine an application made under section 98 for the lodgement of an amendment to an exclusive use by-law under section 97(4)(a) without the consent of a unit owner;</li> <li>to determine an application made under section 98B for the appointment of an administrator for the body corporate;</li> <li>to determine an application made under section 98C to remove or replace the administrator;</li> <li>to determine an application made under section 98E to give directions regarding the manner in which the administrator is to perform the duties and functions and exercise the powers of the body corporate.</li> </ul> <p>NTCAT also has jurisdiction to review a decision by the schemes supervisor to certify or refuse to certify an amendment or consolidation of a by-law (see section 95D and section 119(4(b))).</p>
1 January 2015	<i>Victims of Crime Assistance Act 2006</i>	Review	<p>This is an Act to establish schemes to provide victims of violent acts with counselling and with financial assistance, and for related matters.</p> <p>Section 48 provides that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>an applicant for an award may apply to NTCAT for review of the following decisions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a decision of the Director to refuse to accept a later application under section 31(2);</li> <li>a decision of the assessor notified under section 44(5).</li> </ol> </li> <li>An applicant for an increased award may apply to NTCAT for review of a decision of the assessor notified under section 46(9).</li> </ol>



	<i>Victims of Crime Assistance Act 2006</i>		(3) A person required to refund an amount to the Territory may apply to the Tribunal for review of the decision of the assessor notified under section 47(3).
--	---	--	---